UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

In re:

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,

Title III

PROMESA

as representative of

No. 17 BK 3283-LTS

THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, et al.

(Jointly Administered)

Debtors.¹

In re:

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,

as representative of

PUERTO RICO HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY,

Debtor.

PROMESA Title III

No. 17 BK 3567-LTS

THIS PLEADING RELATES ONLY TO THIS TITLE III CASE²

LIIMITED OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS TO OMNIBUS MOTION BY THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, ACTING BY AND THROUGH THE MEMBERS OF THE SPECIAL CLAIMS COMMITTEE, AND THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS

¹ The Debtors in these title III cases, along with each Debtor's respective title III case number listed as a bankruptcy case number due to software limitations and the last four (4) digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, as applicable, are the (i) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (the "Commonwealth") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3283 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3481), (ii) Employees Retirement System of the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico ("ERS") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3566(LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 9686), (iii) Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority ("HTA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3567 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3808), (iv) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation ("COFINA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3284 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 8474); and (v) Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-4780) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3747).

² This clarification is made in accordance to the Court's Order (A) Pursuant to PROMESA Section 304(G), Directing Joint Administration of Initial Title III Cases and Additional Title III Cases, and (B) Pursuant to Section 105(A) of The Bankruptcy Code, Making Certain Orders Entered on First Day Pleadings Applicable to the Additional Title III Cases. See, Dkt. No. 167 of Case No. 17-03567 (LTS) at ¶ 5 of p. 4.

TO (I) ESTABLISH LITIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES AND (II) ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR THE APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENTS

COMES NOW TransCore Atlantic, Inc. ("TransCore"), as a party-in-interest under 11 U.S.C. § 1109(b), and without submitting itself to the jurisdiction of this Court in connection with any adversary proceeding, including Adversary Case No. 19-00348-LTS, by and through the undersigned legal counsel, and respectfully submits this limited objection and reservation of rights to the *Omnibus Motion by the Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico, Acting by and Through the Members of the Special Claims Committee, and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors to (I) Establish Litigation Case Management Procedures and (II) Establish Procedures for the Approval of Settlements (the "Motion"). See Dkt. No. 7325 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).*

BACKGROUND

I. Commencement of the Title III Cases.

- 1. The Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico (the "Oversight Board") was established under section 101(b) of the *Puerto Rico Oversight, Management, and Economic Stability Act* ("PROMESA")⁴ on June 30, 2016. On August 31, 2016, the President of the United States appointed the Oversight Board's seven voting members.
- 2. Pursuant to section 315 of PROMESA, "[t]he Oversight Board in a case under this title is the representative of the debtor[s]" and "may take any action necessary on behalf of the debtor[s] to prosecute the case[s] of the debtor[s], including filing a petition under section 304 of [PROMESA]...or otherwise generally submitting filings in relation to the case[s] with the court."

³ Capitalized terms used herein but not defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Motion.

⁴ PROMESA is codified at 48 U.S.C. §§ 2101-2241.

- 3. On September 30, 2016, the Oversight Board designated the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (the "Commonwealth") and the Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority ("HTA", and jointly with the Commonwealth, the "Debtors") as covered entities under section 101(d) of PROMESA.
- 4. On May 3, 2017, the Oversight Board issued a restructuring certification on behalf of the Commonwealth pursuant to sections 104(j) and 206 of PROMESA, and filed a voluntary petition for relief for under section 304(a) of PROMESA, thus commencing a case under title III on behalf of the Commonwealth (the "Commonwealth's Title III Case"). *See* Dkt. No. 1 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).
- 5. On May 21, 2017, the Oversight Board issued a restructuring certification on behalf of HTA pursuant to sections 104(j) and 206 of PROMESA, and filed a voluntary petition for relief as per section 304(a) of PROMESA, thus commencing a Title III case on behalf of HTA (the "HTA Title III Case," and jointly with the Commonwealth Title III Case, the "Title III Case,"). See Dkt. No. 1 of Case No. 17-03567 (LTS).
- 6. On June 9, 2017, the Debtor filed a motion seeking the joint administration of the HTA Title III Case with the pending Title III proceedings for the Commonwealth, COFINA and ERS⁵ pursuant to section 304(g) of PROMESA and Rule 1015 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), which request for joint administration was supplemented on August 23, 2017 to also include the Title III cases of PREPA⁶ (collectively, the "Title III Proceedings"). See Dkt. No. 79 of Case No. 17-03567 (LTS) and Dkt. No. 1149 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS). The Court issued an Order on June 29, 2017, which was later

⁵ Please refer to footnote 1 for the meaning of these defined terms.

⁶ *Id*<u>.</u>

modified on October 6, 2017, directing the joint administration of the Title III Proceedings. *See* Dkt. No. 167 of Case No. 17-03567 (LTS) and Dkt. No. 1417 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).

II. The Prosecution Procedures Motion Is Approved.

- 7. On April 16, 2019, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "UCC"), the Oversight Board, and the Special Claims Committee of the Oversight Board (the "SCC", and jointly with the UCC and the Oversight Board, the "Movants") filed an *Urgent Joint Motion for Entry of Order Approving Stipulation and Agreed Order by and among Financial Oversight and Management Board, its Special Claims Committee, and Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Related to Joint Prosecution of Debtor Causes of Action* (the "Commonwealth Prosecution Procedures Motion") seeking approval of a stipulation and order in the Commonwealth's Title III Case to, among other things, establish procedures for (i) the appointment of SCC members and UCC members as "co-trustees and co-plaintiffs" with respect to certain adversary proceedings, (ii) appointment of the UCC as the sole trustee and plaintiff with respect to certain adversary proceedings, and (iii) the parties' litigation efforts (the "Stipulation"). See Dkt. No. 6305 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).
- 8. The Court approved the Commonwealth Prosecution Procedures Motion and the Stipulation on April 26, 2019. *See* Dkt. No. 6524 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).
- 9. On May 8, 2019, Movants sought a similar relief as the Commonwealth Prosecution Procedures Motion and Stipulation but, this time, for the HTA and ERS cases (the "HTA Prosecution Procedures Motion", and jointly with the Commonwealth Prosecution Procedures Motion, the "Prosecution Procedures"). See Dkt. No. 6867 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).

10. The Court approved the HTA Prosecution Procedures Motion and its corresponding Stipulation on May 16, 2019. *See* Dkt. No. 6990 of Case No. 17-03283 (LTS).

III. Plaintiffs File the Avoidance Actions against TransCore.

- 11. In accordance with the Prosecution Procedures, the SCC and/or the UCC (jointly, the "<u>Plaintiffs</u>") filed 235 Complaints against several suppliers, vendors, and/or contractors (the "<u>Servicers</u>") of the Commonwealth between April 30 and May 2, 2019. On May 19, 2019, Plaintiffs filed 7 additional Complaints against Servicers of HTA.
- 12. The Complaints attempt to avoid of certain sums received by Servicers from the Debtors under the auspices of Chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Avoidance Actions"). As highlighted in the media, these Avoidance Actions have created uncertainty in the Island, and a "potential adverse impact … on hundreds of local businesses." *See* Joanisabel González, *Seeking a Solution for Suppliers*, El Nuevo Día online edition, May 10, 2019.⁷
- 13. TransCore was among those sued in one of these Avoidance Actions. *See* Adversary Case No. 19-00348-LTS.

IV. Plaintiffs File the Motion to Establish Certain Litigation Case Management Procedures and Settlement Standards for the Avoidance Actions.

14. The Plaintiffs filed the Motion on June 7, 2019, seeking to: (i) establish case management procedures regarding the prosecution of the Avoidance Actions (the "Avoidance CMP"); (ii) set forth the applicable voluntary mediation procedures regarding the Avoidance Actions; and (iii) obtain approval of Plaintiffs' settlement authority, standards, and procedures for the entering of consensual agreements with defendants in the Avoidance Actions (the "Settlement Standards").

⁷ https://www.elnuevodia.com/english/english/nota/seekingasolutionforsuppliers-2493105/.

DISCUSSION

- 15. TransCore has serious concerns with respect to certain deviations from the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure proposed by the Avoidance CMP and the Settlement Standards which may infringe on TransCore's rights and ability to properly defend itself in the Avoidance Actions.
- 16. Part VII of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure follows the same scheme and purposes of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This is so because "[a]n adversary proceeding is a separate lawsuit within the context of a case and has all of the attributes of a lawsuit, including due process service requirements as well as application, with some adaptation, of the Federal Rules of Civil procedure." Alan N. Resnick and Henry J. Sommer, Collier Pamphlet Edition Part 2 Bankruptcy Rules 368 (2017 ed.).
- 17. Rule 1001 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (which follows Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure)⁸ states that the "rules shall be construed, administered, and employed by the court and the parties to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every case and proceeding."
- 18. Rule 1001 "is almost identical to Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 [and i]ts policy is obviously identical to its civil counterpart." *In re Kliegl Bros. Universal Elec. Stage Lighting Co.*, 238 B.R. 531, 554 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1999).

⁸ Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:

These rules govern the procedure in all civil actions and proceedings in the United States district courts, except as stated in Rule 81. They should be construed, administered, and employed by the court and the parties to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action and proceeding.

- 19. There probably is no provision in the federal rules more important than Rule 1's mandate that these be applied in a fashion that safeguard's a party's right to a just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every legal action and proceeding. This ultimately "reflects the spirit in which the rules were conceived and written, and in which they should be interpreted." WRIGHT & MILLER, 4 FED. PRAC. & PROC. CIV. § 1029 (4th ed.)
- 20. While the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure are to be liberally construed, "the spirit of liberal construction does not allow the court to ignore procedural rules altogether." *In re Gray*, 156 B.R. 707, 711 n.10 (Bankr. D. Me. 1993).
- 21. TransCore's concerns fall into three categories, which refer to specific Avoidance CMP and Settlement Standard proposals included in the Motion. These are:

(a)

Section II (ii)(b) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 20) – It is unclear whether

Plaintiffs intend to eliminate the applicability of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7016

altogether or just the scheduling of final pre-trial conferences in the

Avoidance Actions. Without these, however, TransCore will not have a
guideline as to applicable motion-practice schedule, the identification of

witnesses and discovery schedules, the scheduling of initial disclosures,

setting pre-trial and trial dates, among others. Both are key for an orderly

management of any civil litigation and should apply in the Avoidance

Actions as "[p]retrial procedures clearly play an important role in

achieving" the "[t]wo primary purposes of the Federal Rules ... [i] to

lessen the cost of litigation and [ii] expedite the disposition of cases."

10 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 7016.02 (16th ed. 2019).

- (b) Section II (iv)(a) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 20) The section is unclear as to what constitutes "post-discovery" motions under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. To the extent that Plaintiffs, instead, refer to motions for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the language in the Motion impairs TransCore's right to file these motions before the close of discovery. This proposed limitation is patently incompatible with Rule 56(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which allows a party to present motions for summary judgment "at any time until 30 days after the close of discovery." Under Rule 56(b), a party has the right to file a motion for summary judgment before conclusion of discovery if appropriate. Plaintiffs' proposal to limit the filing of motions for summary until "thirty (30) days after the close of discovery" curtails this right without any justification.
- (c) Section IV (ii)(d) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 23) This section refers to proposed settlement procedures for Avoidance Actions with a face amount of up to \$10 million. Under these proposed procedures, the Plaintiffs will have the authority to settle "without further order of the Court." While sub-section (a) contemplates that Plaintiffs "will provide general, mutual releases to each other relating to, or arising out, of the Avoidance Action," defendants subject to these procedures will not receive a Court Order approving the settlement terms in accordance with Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019. The only *comfort order* that will be received by a settling defendant is a

⁹ As applicable in these proceedings by virtue of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7056.

"notice of dismissal," as provided in the Motion. Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 10 "[u]nless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the [voluntary] dismissal is without prejudice." Given that a settling defendant would be waiving its right to obtain a court-approved compromise under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 should the Motion be authorized by this Court, and that a defendant's only *comfort order* will be the voluntary dismissal itself, it is only fair that such dismissal be "with prejudice," as allowed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(B).

- 22. The foregoing proposals deviate from the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure's and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure's objectives to safeguard TransCore's right to a just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the Avoidance Actions. Moreover, and as explained above, certain of these provisions potentially infringe upon TransCore's due process rights to adequately defend itself in the Avoidance Actions. *See* U.S. Const. amend. V ("[n]o person shall ... be deprived of ... property, without due process of law"); *see also Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford*, 295 U.S. 555, 589 (1935) (holding that "the bankruptcy power" is "subject to the Fifth Amendment").
- 23. Lastly, and contrary to Plaintiffs' assertion otherwise, section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code cannot be used as a vehicle to undo the purposes and objectives of the Rules. See 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) (which permits courts to "[take] any action or [make] any determination necessary or appropriate to enforce or implement court orders or rules") (emphasis added). To this end, section 105(d)(2) states that:

¹⁰ As made applicable to these proceedings by virtue of Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7041.

(d) The court, on its own motion or on the request of a party in interest—(2) unless inconsistent with another provision of this title or with applicable Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, may issue an order at any such conference prescribing such limitations and conditions as the court deems appropriate to ensure that the case is handled expeditiously and economically

11 U.S.C. § 105(d) (emphasis added).

- 24. The ultimate purpose of section 105 is to ensure that a Court's "orders must be consistent with other provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and with the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure." 2 Collier on Bankruptcy ¶105.08 (16th ed 2019).
- 25. Because Plaintiffs' proposals run afoul to the purposes of the Rules and infringe upon TransCore's rights, TransCore respectfully requests this Court to deny the approval of the proposals contained in the Motion at: (a) Section II (ii)(b) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 20); (b) Section II (iv)(a) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 20); and (c) Section IV (ii)(d) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 23), due to the deficiencies explained above.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

26. TransCore reserves all rights and remedies with respect to the Motion, including but not limited to, the right to raise additional arguments at the hearing on the Motion.

WHEREFORE, TransCore respectfully requests this Court to deny the approval of the proposals contained in the Motion at: (a) Section II (ii)(b) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 20); (b) Section II (iv)(a) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 20); and (c) Section IV (ii)(d) (Dkt. No. 7325 at p. 23) due to the deficiencies explained above.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 17th day of June, 2019.

McCONNELL VALDÉS LLC

270 Muñoz Rivera Avenue San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918 P.O. Box 364225 San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936-4225 Telephone: 787-250-5604

Fax: 787-759-8282

s/Nayuan ZouairabaniNayuan ZouairabaniUSDC No. 226411nzt@mcvpr.com